



This entire response by Edgar M. Nash refers to Office Communication dated 3/11/04 concerning Application No. 09/878,456, from Examiner Cassandra Davis (Art Unit 3611)

RECEIVED

APR 15 2004

GROUP 3600

Dated April 9, 2004
Modesto, Ca.

To Attn. Ms. Cassandra Davis, Art Unit 3611, USPTO, Fax No. 703-872-9306

Herewith is my response to your objections to my previous response dated May 6, 2002, as listed in your Office Communication cited above.

1) "The substitute specification adds new matter which should be deleted."

As you requested, I have determined what is the new matter, and have treated it according to AMENDMENT TO THE SPECIFICATION material you e-mailed me on date of 4/2/04. I hope the changes on the 14 pages of *Specification* and following 16 pages of *Description and Operation, Alternative Embodiments* will be fully responsive to your objections.

2) "The amendments to the claims are not proper."

I have corrected Claims as required in AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIM, as shown on the three pages of *Claims*, herewith.

I shall look forward to having knowledge of your satisfaction with this submission.

Sincerely,

Edgar M. Nash